

101574338

IAP20 Dec 2005 10 23 MAR 2006

SUBSTITUTE SPECIFICATION

F-9042

Ser. No.

Specification

**METHOD AND SUPPLY UNIT FOR MONITORING CHANGES AND
STATES IN REACTION CHAMBERS**

Background of the Invention

5 Experiments on cell cultures are performed in reaction chambers. Cells, cell components, DNA, RNA, enzymes, antibodies, and chemical compounds can be monitored and/or brought to reaction in the reaction chamber. Reaction chambers are known in which sensor systems of various kinds are situated on the bottom of the reaction chamber.

10 The invention relates to a method for monitoring changes and states in reaction chambers and to a supply unit that is required during experiments on cell cultures for introducing a liquid culture medium.

Known apparatus supply fresh culture medium or supply an active substance dissolved in this culture medium to the cells in a certain chronological sequence and remove used medium from the cell culture area. The supplied medium and the cell culture area must be protected from contamination by microorganisms and from excessive evaporation. These are important requirements for sensitive measurement of cellular reactions.

DE 19920811 describes an apparatus for performing experiments on cell cultures that are situated in a liquid culture medium. A separator is provided that can approach the cell culture located on a receptacle and that on top of the culture medium limits a reaction chamber. Provided inside the separator are one or a plurality of through-channels that open into the small-volume partial space of the receptacle. The convective mixing of the medium located in the reaction chamber and in the reservoir occurs in that a certain quantity of liquid culture medium is supplied via the through-channel to the reaction chamber and is evacuated again. The convective mixing occurs via the flow channel between separator and receptacle. Situated on the bottom of the separator is a profile with a convex curvature through which air and gas bubbles can escape.

Depending on ambient conditions, liquids can store or emit gases (gas exchange with the atmosphere), whereby the condition of saturation is always sought. Thus, there can be significant gas deposits, depending on temperature and pressure, among other things. Given a drop in pressure and an increase in temperature, a portion of the gas emitted to the environment can lead to the formation of bubbles. In closed systems, these bubbles can be transported and can lead to disturbances in chemical, physical, and biological processes, measurement results, or the metrology environment (e.g. damages to the carpet of cells or within a reaction chamber, inhibition of chemical reactions on surfaces because of accumulations of air bubbles).

It is a disadvantage of the prior art that gas bubbles can occur that negatively impact the cell culture or measurement by sensors.

Various methods and devices are known for avoiding or reducing disturbances caused by air bubbles.

5 Some of these systems (vacuum, heating, ultrasound, and so forth) can degas the liquid partially or nearly completely. However, care must be taken that no additional gas can be absorbed during further transport of the liquids (gas-impermeable transport containers/tubes/hoses). Furthermore, degassing can lead to changes in the properties of the liquid (e.g., denaturing of proteins by heating)
10 and to effects on the sensors. For these reasons, the described degassing methods are not suitable for applications that are based on semi-open systems, that work with living (e.g. oxygen-consuming) cells, and/or that do not permit manipulation of the liquid.

15 Additional methods for air bubble suppression are e.g. so-called air bubble traps in the hose system. Air and gas bubbles rise in an area provided for this and are not further transported in the outflow. The disadvantage of this method is the additional dead volume (time delay/intermixing when media are changed) and where needed the required degassing site that comes into contact with the environment (e.g. possible contamination). Moreover, the system can only remove

air bubbles that are disposed in the hose upstream of the trap (in the pump direction). Additional gas bubbles can form in the subsequent hose/line system.

Summary of the Invention

The object of the invention is to enable air bubble-free measurement in reaction chambers for monitoring changes and states in reaction chambers.
5 Degassing is not to be used at all.

The method for monitoring changes and states in reaction chambers is characterized in that a fluid is drawn or pumped out of a reservoir and transported to a supply unit. The fluid drips or flows via a second through-channel (inlet channel) into a drip chamber so that air bubbles that are transported with the fluid remain on the fluid surface or escape into the environment immediately. Thus they cannot travel into the reaction chamber. The fluid forms a supply above a head and a reaction chamber. The height of the fluid surface and thus the supply volume is determined using a first through-channel (suction channel) and a fluid exchange
10 occurs in the reaction chamber due to suctioning via the suction channel and the flowing of the fluid out of the drip chamber caused thereby.
15

In one exemplary embodiment the height of the fluid surface and thus the supply volume is determined using a third through-channel (emergency suction channel).

The change in the fluid or in a surface in the reaction chamber is initiated
5 by living cells and/or chemical, biochemical, and/or immunological reactions, the fluid supply and draining occurring simultaneously or sequentially.

The reaction chamber can be changed using a lifting mechanism in the head carrier. The fluid in the drip chamber is thereby mixed with the fluid in the
10 reaction chamber. In one exemplary embodiment, the liquid in the drip chamber is drawn into the reaction chamber (by suctioning the liquid out of the reaction chamber).

A membrane is arranged in the reaction chamber such that fluid does not flow directly into portions of the reaction chamber.

15 In the inventive supply unit for monitoring changes and states in reaction chambers, a first through-channel opening into the reaction chamber suctions a fluid. The inlet for the fluid occurs via a second through-channel above the fluid surface.

Sensor systems for detecting the change in the fluid are arranged in the reaction chamber and/or in the first through-channel.

In one exemplary embodiment, the head carrier comprises a head with a stock-shaped shaft and an enlargement for receiving the second through-channel.

5 In another exemplary embodiment, another enlargement for receiving a third through-channel, which as an emergency suction prevents an overflow, is situated above the enlargement and within the receptacle.

10 Another embodiment demonstrates that the second through-channel for supplying the fluid is arranged adjacent to the head carrier. The first through-channel is situated in the bottom of the reaction chamber.

The surface of the supply unit is provided with a hydrophobic and/or hydrophilic coating.

15 Degassers and bubble traps are unnecessary because of this structurally optimized supply unit, which supplies fresh reaction components and disposes of used reaction components and a new fluid guide.

Bubbles are captured directly at the flow-through head in the immediate vicinity of the reaction chamber and are prevented from being transported to the reaction chamber, whereby the physical, chemical, and biological properties of the fluid remain unchanged.

5 Brief Description of the Drawings

The exemplary embodiments are explained using the drawings.

Figure 1 – inventive supply unit with suction and inlet;

Figure 2 – inventive supply unit with suction, inlet, and emergency suction;
and

10 Figure 3 – inventive supply unit in another embodiment.

Detailed Description of the Drawings

Figure 1 depicts the inventive supply unit. Situated in a receptacle 10 is a head carrier 1 that limits the reaction chamber 2. Cells, cell components, DNA, RNA, enzymes, antibodies, and chemical compounds can be monitored and/or brought to reaction in the reaction chamber 2. Sensor systems 13 of various types can be disposed on the bottom of the reaction chamber 2 and/or in the first through-channel 5. These can be e.g. electrical, optical, and/or acoustic sensors.

A membrane 14 in the reaction chamber 2 can retain e.g. suspension of cells or other mobile reaction components in the reaction chamber 2 or can prevent direct flow (shear forces) by adherent growing cells or reaction components on surfaces.

Figure 2 depicts how the inventive head carrier 1 with the first through-channel 5 as suction, the second through-channel 6 as inlet, and the third through-channel 11 as emergency suction can prevent an overflow.

The head carrier 1 has a head 7 with a connecting stock-shaped shaft 8. A
5 first through-channel 5 that opens into the reaction chamber 2 acts to suction a fluid
3. The inlet occurs via a second through-channel 6 into a drip chamber above the
fluid surface 4. This second through-channel 6 is situated in an enlargement 9 that
is for instance semi-circular and beveled with respect to the stock-shaped shaft 8.
Using this arrangement it is possible that no undesired bubbles or gases occur in
10 the reaction chamber 2.

A certain liquid quantity of culture medium is supplied to the fluid 3,
already present, via the second through-channel 6 from a reservoir via a hose
and/or tube system. The fluid 3 drips or flows via the second through-channel 6
into the drip chamber. Air bubbles remain on the fluid surface 4 or escape
immediately into the environment. The fluid is suctioned out of the reaction
15 chamber 2 via the first through-channel 5. Thus unused bubble-free culture medium
always travels into the reaction chamber 2 in that the fluid 3 flows out of the supply
into the drip chamber. The fluid 3 in the drip chamber is drawn into the reaction
chamber 2 by the suctioning of the liquid out of the reaction chamber 2. The height
20 of the fluid surface 4 and thus the supply volume is determined using the first

through-channel 5.

The height of the fluid surface 4 can also be determined using the third through-channel 11 as an emergency suction channel.

Figure 3 illustrates another embodiment of the arrangements for the first and second through-channels 5', 6'. Here, the second through-channel 6' for supplying the fluid is arranged adjacent to the head carrier 1 and the first through-channel 5' is arranged in the bottom of the reaction chamber 2. Theoretically other equivalent arrangements are also possible.

If the reaction chamber 2 is changed by a lifting mechanism of the head carrier 1, the fluid 3 in the drip chamber mixes with the fluid in the reaction chamber 2.

If the surface of the head carrier 1 and/or of the receptacle 10 is provided with a hydrophobic and/or hydrophilic coating, the properties of the fluids on the surfaces are affected such that air bubbles in the fluids can escape more simply and bubbles are captured directly at the through-flow head in the immediate vicinity of the reaction chamber 2 and are prevented from being transported to the reaction chamber 2.

The following are possible dimensions of the individual components:

Height of the reaction chamber: 200 – 500 µm

Height of the drip chamber: 0.5 – 3 mm

Height of the fluid surface: 1 – 5 mm

5 Aperture diameter for through-channels: 0.5 – 1 mm

The advantages of the new system are, first of all, the simple construction, and secondly, that no change occurs in the medium (liquid) since the gas portion in the fluid is not changed (degasser (heat, vacuum)). There is no ultrasound degassing or heating. The cells can be supplied adequately with gases (e.g. O₂).

10

Likewise, due to bubble-free and electrically certain coupled suction, as needed a reference electrode required for the measurement or other external sensors can be placed such that they themselves and/or their electrolytes do not have any undesired effect on the measurement.

15

Another advantage is that the reaction chamber can be minimized, the space for “passing through” air bubbles is no longer necessary. Likewise, reducing the size of the reaction chamber renders detectable changes in the fluid based on surface reactions and enables smaller volumes of test substances/test materials.